



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,561	09/27/2001	Carol A. Miller	13761-7030	5747
7:	590 06/04/2002			
Rajiv Yadav McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen, LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 18th Floor			EXAMINER	
			HUYNH, PHUONG N	
San Francisco,	CA 94111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	71
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	o. App	olicant(s)		
		09/966,561		MILLER ET AL.		
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit		
		" Neon" Phuong	Huynh 164	4		
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	app ars on the cov	er she t with the corres	pondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory m od will apply and will expir tute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be timely file inimum of thirty (30) days will b a SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma to become ABANDONED (35	ed e considered timely. illing date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-	final.			
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from conside	ration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirer	nent.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)[] 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) 🗌 objec	ted to by the Examiner	` .		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See 37	CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approv	ed b)⊡ disapproved l	by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office a	ction.			
12) 🗌 🏾	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rec	eived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E ee the attached detailed Office action for a li- 	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	his National Stage		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional application).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pcknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •				
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6) 🖂	Notice of Informal Patent	-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 4		

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- The location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1644, Group 1640, Technology Center 1600.
- 2. Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hutzell, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Paula.Hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13 and 17-20, drawn to a method of treating a specific neurological disorder in a human patient which comprises administering to said human patient a composition comprising a polypeptide comprising a sequence substantially equivalent to SEQ ID NO:
 2, classified in Class 424, subclass 450, subclass 184.1.
 - II. Claims 14-16, drawn to a method of treating Alzheimer's disease, the method comprising administering to a human subject an effect amount of a composition comprising a polynucleotide having the sequence that is substantially equivalent to SEQ ID NO: 1 and further comprises removing stem cells from a subject, introducing the recombinant expression vector into said stem cells and re-introducing said stem cells into the subject's bone marrow, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.

Art Unit: 1644

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I-II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the methods of treating a specific neurological disorder using distinct products (polypeptide versus polynucleotide that differ with respect to their structures) differ with respect to the method steps and endpoints. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Irrespective of whichever group the applicant may elect, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect:
 - A) If Group I is elected, the Applicant is required to elect a specific method of treating a specific neurological disorder such as the ones recited in claims 8, 9, and 17. These specific neurological disorders such as the ones recited in claims 8, 9, and 17 are patentably distinct because the disorders differ with respect to their etiology and treatment endpoints. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.
 - B) If Group II is elected, the Applicant is required to elect a specific method of treating a specific neurological disorder such as the ones recited in claim 14. These specific neurological disorders such as the ones recited in claim 14 are patentably distinct because the disorders differ with respect to their etiology and treatment endpoints. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.
- 7. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 14 and 17 are generic.

Art Unit: 1644

- 8. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.
- 11. Due to the complexity of the claimed invention an oral restriction was not made.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Art Unit: 1644

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Huynh "NEON" whose telephone number is (703) 308-4844. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.
- 15. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Phuong N. Huynh, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

June 3, 2002

CHRISTINA CHAN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600